UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|          | APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|          | 10/815,042                                 | 03/31/2004                 | Friedrich Fuchs      | P04,0077            | 7825             |
|          | 26574<br>SCHIFF HARD                       | 7590 01/18/200<br>DIN, LLP |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|          | PATENT DEPA                                | RTMENT                     |                      | LAURITZEN, AMANDA L |                  |
|          | 6600 SEARS TOWER<br>CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473 |                            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|          |  |                            |                      | 3737                |                  |
|          |  |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|          | SHORTENED STATUTOR                         | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE       | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 2 MONTUS |  | NITUS                      | 01/18/2007           | DADED               |                  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/815,042  | FUCHS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amanda L. Lauritzen   | 3737   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.  | *   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | With John John Gordan   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | r cleation requirement  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| )☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mainaithe condon 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/a)  | (d) or (f)   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | •••   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | - <del>-</del>  | ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | e <b>d.</b>  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | · .  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 Aug 2005</u> .   | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | ratent Application   |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Schanll et al. (U.S. 2004/0092832).

Schnall et al. disclose a method for examining the responsiveness of blood vessels comprising the steps of: producing a localized, controlled temperature change in a body part to selectively dilate or constrict vessels and measure fluid flow in the vessels after temperature change, including radiantly heating (Abstract; also paras. 20, 88). The method of Schnall includes application of a plurality of compresses, as in claim 27 in which *at least one* cuff is administered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3737

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 3-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schnall et al. '832 in view of Bailey et al. (U.S. 2001/0045104).

Schnall discloses a method for examining vessel responsiveness including steps of providing a localized, controlled temperature change and subsequently measuring fluid flow through the vessels but is not specific to how the local temperature change is accomplished. However, in order to solve the same problem of heating and cooling an externally applied compress or cuff, Bailey et al. disclose controlling temperature change with a Peltier element (para. 27) and further discloses varying temperature with water and/or oil (para. 527 for liquidfilled compresses). The device of Bailey further includes external storage containers with a valve to control fluid flow into the compress (refer to Fig. 1 for container 101, valve 102; also para. 459; Fig. 15; Figs. 17A-D). The fluids specified by Bailey are inert for magnetic resonance tomography. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the heating and cooling systems disclosed by Bailey et al. to produce a localized temperature change in the method of Schnall in order to provide a heat exchange system that self-regulates at a temperature above 0°C (in the case of using water at para. 527) and to provide a thermoelectric junction that can be exploited for electrochemical battery power (in the case of using a Peltier element for heat exchange at para. 450. In this case, the Peltier element is interacting with the heel).

Regarding claims 15 and 42 and 43, Bailey et al. disclose measuring a temperature of the body part using a temperature sensor (para. 375) and storing a plurality of recorded temperatures

Art Unit: 3737

(see RAM and ROM of para. 650 for storing sensor inputs). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine a temperature sensor as taught by Bailey with the method and device for examining blood vessel responsiveness of Schnall in order to provide a feedback safety control system for regulating temperature (for motivation, see Bailey para. 375).

Regarding claim 16, both Bailey and Shnall are silent with regard to obtaining a temperature curve with respect to time, but providing a temperature-time curve would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention in order to provide a convenient visual display of data.

Regarding claims 17 and 44, the disclosure of Schnall is silent with regard to providing an external imaging device, but the compress/ heat exchange system of Bailey is formed of non-metallic components and is to be used in conjunction with x-ray and other imaging modalities (paras. 147 and 209). It would have been obvious to incorporate use of any of a variety of imaging devices as taught by Bailey with the method of Schnall in order to simultaneously administer cryotherapy while performing diagnostic imaging (for motivation, see para. 209 of Bailey).

Regarding claims 21 and 47, Bailey discloses applying a plurality of compresses at different sides of a body part as in Fig. 20A where a plurality of bladders are provided that constitute different compresses. It would have been obvious to provide multiple compresses in order to provide different temperature set points at different target tissue areas (para. 510).

Regarding claims 25-29, both Schnall and Bailey are silent with regard to using angiography, but it would have been obvious to incorporate contrast vessel imaging methods in a

Art Unit: 3737

method of evaluating vessel responsiveness to one having ordinary skill in the art in order to visualize the inner openings of the vessels, the size of the vessels (including cross-sectional area), as well as fluid flow within the vessels.

Regarding claims 35 and 41, Schnall is silent with regard to providing different fluid temperatures, but Bailey discloses providing a number of individually thermostatically controlled paths. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide different fluid temperatures as taught by Bailey with the method of Schnall in order to vary the temperature for different target tissue areas (Bailey para. 510 and para. 449 for controller device that maintains temperature differential).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda L. Lauritzen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4303. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,042 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.L.L. 1/5/2007

BRIAN L. CASTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700